



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,009	12/31/2001	Zhi-Hao Lin	26870-4	7508	
21130	7590 · 03/25/2005		EXAMINER		
BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DOCKET CLERK 2300 BP TOWER 200 PUBLIC SQUARE			SHIFERAW, ELENI A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HL			·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/039,009	LIN, ZHI-HAO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Eleni A Shiferaw	2136				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 De	ecember 2001.					
<i>'</i> —	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application	on Papers	•					
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	9 <b>d</b> .				
Attachment	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/039,009 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 21 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 1. See MPEP § 706.03(k). Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "said USB Device" on page 11 lines 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "UBS Device" the claimed invention is unclear because "UBS Device" is not supported by the specification as invented. However for examining purpose the examiner interprets the UBS Device claimed on page 11 lines 4 as a USB Device.

Appropriate correction is required in response of this office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veil (Patent Number: 6,138,239) in view of Cromer et al. (Cromer Pub. No. US 2003/0084278 A1).

As per claims 1 and 21, Veil teaches a method for protecting a specific one of a program area and a dada area having specific usage standards to be applied to a basic input/output system (BIOS), wherein said basic input/output system defines a mapping table therein, said method comprising steps of:

Page 4

providing at least one product (peripherals) and reading a product characteristic value of said at least one product (Veil Fig. 4 No. 204 & 212, and col. 9 lines 16-25 and lines 47-67; providing a first and second peripherals and reading the first and second peripherals, serial numbers, to be validated/authenticated by BIOS);

operating said product characteristic value through an algorithm to obtain an operation value (Veil Col. 9 lines 47-67; hash algorithm);

comparing said operation value (hash output) with said mapping table to decide whether said at least one product has said characteristic value conforming to said usage standards of said specific one of said program area and said data area (Veil Col. 9 lines 47-col. 10 lines 20, and Fig. 2C No. 142; BIOS determines/verifies if the peripherals are new/secure by comparing the hash output); and

executing a protection action (application is not executed) for said specific one of said program area and said data area when said at least one product does not have said characteristic value conforming to said usage standards of said specific one of said program area and said data area, thereby preventing said specific one of said program area and said data area from being misappropriated illegally (Veil Col. 10 lines 39-44; executing a protection action, not executing application, when the peripherals are not known or not listed on the BIOS memory).

Veil fails to explicitly teach the products as applicant's specification;

However Cromer teaches plurality of devices/peripherals like processor, memory, floppy drive, and hard drive are coupled to BIOS. Cromer BIOS determines if the devices are bootable or not bootable and BIOS lists devices in a boot table, and then initiate a boot sequence or

generate an interrupt signal depending on the determination result in using hash algorithm (Comer Page 1 par. 0006-0007 and page 2 par. 0019-0020).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the teachings of Cromer within Veil because it would allow to determine bootable or non-bootable peripherals/devices for BIOS initialization or boot up by reading the products ID/serial number value, and operating the product ID value through a hash algorithm, and comparing the hash value result in order to determine if the hash value result matches the pre-registered/predetermined code/data stored in BIOS. If unauthorized code is present in the devices/peripherals, the digest value resulting from a hash of that code will produce a value that differs from predetermined value and the operating system take appropriate action/protection action (Cromer Page 1 par. 0006-0008, and page 2 par. 0022).

As per claim 2, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, a method wherein said product characteristic value is obtained via reading contents of said at least one product (Veil Fig. 4 No. 204 & 212, and col. 9 lines 16-25 and lines 47-67; providing a first and second peripherals and reading the first and second peripherals, serial numbers, to be validated/authenticated by BIOS, and Cromer Fig. 2B No. 122).

As per claim 3, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, a method wherein said at least one product is selected from a group consisting of a system chipset, a PCI/ISA card, a ROM, a CMOS, a CPU, a computer peripheral device, and the

combination thereof (Veil Fig. 4 No. 204 & 212, and Cromer Fig. 1 No. 20, 70, 50, 60, and 40; peripherals).

As per claim 13, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Veil discloses a method wherein said algorithm is a secret code algorithm (Veil Col. 9 lines 55-67).

As per claim 14, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, a method wherein said secret code algorithm is one of a summing algorithm and an operating function algorithm (Veil Col. 9 lines 55-67).

As per claim 15, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, a method wherein said protecting action is to skip said specific one of said program area and said data area (Veil Col. 10 lines 39-44 and Cromer page 1 par. 0007 and page 2 par. 0020). The rational for combing are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 16, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, a method wherein said protecting action is to shutdown the operating system (Veil Col. 10 lines 39-44, and Cromer page 1 par. 0007 and page 2 par. 0020). The rational for combing are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 17, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In

Application/Control Number: 10/039,009 Page 7

Art Unit: 2136

addition, a method wherein said protecting action is to halt the operating system (Veil Col. 10 lines 39-44, and Cromer page 1 par. 0007 and page 2 par. 0020). The rational for combing are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 18, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, a method wherein said protecting action is to produce a flag signal to be stored in a storage device for protecting said specific one of said specific program area and data area (Veil Col. 10 lines 39-44, and Cromer page 1 par. 0007 and page 2 par. 0020). The rational for combing are the same as claim 1 above.

As per claim 19, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, method wherein said program area and said data area are stored in a storage module (Veil Col. 10 lines 39-44, and Cromer Page 2 par. 0023).

As per claim 20, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, method wherein said operation value is one of a specific value and a supplemental value (Veil Col. 9 lines 56-59).

6. Claims 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veil (Patent Number: 6,138,239) in view of Cromer et al. (Cromer Pub. No. US 2003/0084278 A1) and further in view of Cepulis et al. (Cepulis, Patent No.: US 6,463,550 B1).

As per claim 4, both Veil and Cromer teach all the subject matter as described above.

Veil and Cromer fail to teach a clock generator, a South Bridge chipset, a North Bridge chipset, a Communication chipset, a Super I/O chipset, a Video Graphics Array chipset, a small computer system interface chipset, a Local Area network chipset, a sensor chipset, a health chipset, a PCI/PCI Bridge chipset, an IDE ATA Controller chipset, a PCI/ISA Bridge chipset, a 1394 chipset, and the combination thereof.

However Cepulis discloses method wherein said system chipset is selected from a group consisting of a clock generator, a South Bridge chipset, a North Bridge chipset, a Communication chipset, a Super I/O chipset, a Video Graphics Array chipset, a small computer system interface chipset, a Local Area network chipset, a sensor chipset, a health chipset, a PCI/PCI Bridge chipset, an IDE ATA Controller chipset, a PCI/ISA Bridge chipset, a 1394 chipset, and the combination thereof (Cepulis Col. 1 lines 27-42, and col. 8 lines 39-42).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Cepulis within the combination system of Veil and Cromer because it would protect different kinds of chipset programs from being copied by an authorized person.

As per claim 5, Veil, Cromer, and Cepulis teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Cepulis discloses a method wherein said PCI/ISA card is selected from a group

consisting of a sound card, a TV card, a VGA card, a SCSI card, a LAN card, an IDE card, an AMR card, a CNR card, a Modem card, and the combination thereof (Cepulis Col. 8 lines 36-43). The rational for combining are the same as claim 4 above.

As per claim 6, Veil, Cromer, and Cepulis teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Cepulis discloses a method wherein said ROM is selected from a group consisting of an EEPROM, an EPROM, a PROM, a ROM, a Flash Memory, and the combination thereof (Cepulis Col. 7 lines 66-col. 8 lines 6). The rational for combining are the same as claim 4 above.

As per claim 7, Veil, Cromer, and Cepulis teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Cepulis discloses a method wherein the product characteristic value of said ROM is based on one data selected from a group consisting of a Checksum value, a Class code, a Subclass code, a Revision ID, a Device ID, a Vendor ID, a Manufacturer ID, a Product ID, a Sub-Product ID, a Sub-Device ID, a Sub-Vendor ID, a ROM Signature, a Data Structure Length, a Data Structure Revision, an Image Length, a Revision Level of Code/Data, a code Type, a Command Code, a Control Register, a Status Register, an Expansion ROM Base Address, a Configuration type, a Serial Presence Detect Data, a Clockgen device related data, and a specific address data (Cepulis Col. 7 lines 66-col. 8 lines 6). The rational for combining are the same as claim 4 above.

As per claim 8, Veil, Cromer, and Cepulis teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Cepulis discloses a method wherein said CMOS is used for storing a relevant set value

of said BIOS (Cepulis Fig. 1 No. 122). The rational for combining are the same as claim 4 above.

As per claim 9, Veil, Cromer, and Cepulis teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Cepulis discloses a method wherein said product characteristic value is selected from a group consisting of an ID, a Patch ID, a relevant register value of said CPU, and combination thereof (Cepulis Fig. 2 No. 104). The rational for combining are the same as claim 4 above.

As per claim 10, Veil, Cromer, and Cepulis teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Cepulis discloses a method wherein said computer peripheral device is selected from a group consisting of a Modem, a Printer, a Serial Port Device, a Parallel port device, a SCSI Device, an IDE Device, a UBS Device, a midi Device, and the combination thereof (Cepulis Col. 1 lines 28-42). The rational for combining are the same as claim 4 above.

As per claim 11, Veil, Cromer, and Cepulis teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Cepulis discloses a method wherein said SCSI Device, said IDE Device, and said Device are provided by a group consisting one of a diskette, a hard disk, a compact disc, a ZIP disk, a LS-120 disk, a type, and the combination thereof (Cepulis Col. 1 lines 28-42). The rational for combining are the same as claim 4 above.

As per claim 12, Veil, Cromer, and Cepulis teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, a method wherein said product characteristic value is one selected from a group consisting of a register value, an I/O port value and the combination thereof in said at least one Application/Control Number: 10/039,009

Art Unit: 2136

product (Cromer Fig. 1 No. 40 and page 1 par. 0004 lines 10-12).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eleni A Shiferaw whose telephone number is 571-272-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 17, 2005

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100** 

Page 11